BUSINESS CHALLENGES FACED BY SUPERMARKETS DUE TO INCREASING ONLINE SHOPPING TRENDS

Pragati Kushwah[1], Dr. Vijay Kumar Jain[2] and Dr. Vikas Jain[3]
1.Research Scholar, Barkatullah University, Bhopal
2. Supervisor: - Dr.Vijay Kumar Jain, Director Vidyasagar Institute of Management, Bhopal
3. Co-Supervisor: - Dr.Vikash Jain, Assistant Professor Anand Institute of Management, Bhopal

ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of e-commerce and online shopping platforms has significantly transformed the retail landscape worldwide. In India, increasing internet penetration, smartphone usage, digital payment systems, and convenient home delivery services have encouraged consumers to shift from traditional shopping methods to online purchasing. This transformation has posed several challenges for supermarkets operating in the organized retail sector. The present study examines the business challenges faced by supermarkets due to increasing online shopping trends. The study explores the impact of online retailing on customer footfall, sales volume, profitability, customer loyalty, and competitive positioning of supermarkets. Based on a review of existing literature and contemporary retail practices, the study highlights the necessity for supermarkets to adopt digital technologies, omnichannel retailing strategies, and customer-centric approaches to sustain their market position. The findings suggest that although online shopping presents significant competitive challenges, supermarkets can maintain relevance through technological innovation, improved customer experience, and integrated retail models.

Keywords: *Online Shopping, Supermarkets, E-commerce, Retail Sector, Consumer Behaviour, Business Challenges, Omnichannel Retailing.*

INTRODUCTION

The retail industry has undergone substantial transformation with the emergence of digital technologies and electronic commerce. Online shopping has become one of the most preferred modes of purchasing products due to its convenience, accessibility, variety of choices, and competitive pricing. Consumers can compare products, access customer reviews, and receive home delivery services without visiting physical stores.

In India, organized retail formats such as supermarkets have traditionally served as important channels for consumer purchases. However, the increasing popularity of online shopping platforms has altered consumer purchasing patterns. Companies such as Amazon, Flipkart, and online grocery platforms have intensified competition in the retail market. As a result, supermarkets are facing declining customer visits, reduced sales growth, changing consumer expectations, and increasing operational pressures.

The present study focuses on identifying and analyzing the major business challenges encountered by supermarkets due to the growing influence of online shopping. Understanding these challenges is essential for developing effective business strategies and ensuring sustainable growth in the organized retail sector.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Verhoef, Kannan, and Inman (2015) The authors examined the evolution of omnichannel retailing and emphasized that retailers must integrate physical and digital channels to remain competitive. The study found that changing consumer shopping behavior presents significant challenges for traditional retailers.

Brynjolfsson, Hu, and Rahman (2013) The study highlighted how digital technologies are reshaping retail competition. The authors observed that online shopping offers greater convenience and product variety, creating pressure on physical retail stores to innovate.

Rigby (2011) The research emphasized the importance of omnichannel retailing as a strategic response to e-commerce growth. Retailers failing to adapt to digital transformation were found to experience declining market competitiveness.

Kumar and Dange (2014) The study analyzed consumer preferences in organized retailing and found that price discounts, convenience, and product availability significantly influence online shopping adoption.

Singh and Roshan (2018) The authors reported that increasing online retail penetration has affected supermarket sales and customer loyalty, particularly among younger consumers who are more technology-oriented.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To identify the major challenges faced by supermarkets due to increasing online shopping trends.
2. To examine the impact of online shopping on customer footfall in supermarkets.
3. To analyze the effect of online shopping on supermarket sales and profitability.
4. To explore strategies that can help supermarkets compete effectively with online retailers.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

Descriptive and analytical research design.

Data Sources

- **Primary Data:** Structured questionnaire administered to supermarket customers.
- **Secondary Data:** Research articles, books, reports, journals, and retail industry publications.

Sampling Technique: Convenience sampling.

Sample Size: 50 consumers from selected cities of Madhya Pradesh.

Statistical Tools

- Percentage Analysis
- t-Test

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 50)

Particulars	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	28	56.0
	Female	22	44.0
Age Group	18-30 Years	16	32.0
	31-40 Years	18	36.0
	41-50 Years	10	20.0
	Above 50 Years	6	12.0
Occupation	Service	20	40.0
	Business	12	24.0
	Student	8	16.0
	Others	10	20.0

The demographic analysis reveals that out of 50 respondents, 56% were male and 44% were female. Regarding age distribution, the majority of respondents (36%) belonged to the 31–40 years age group, followed by 32% in the 18–30 years category. In terms of occupation, 40% of respondents were employed in the service sector, while 24% were engaged in business activities. The data indicate that the sample consists predominantly of working-age individuals who are likely to be active consumers of both online and offline retail services.

Table 2: Frequency of Online Shopping

Frequency of Online Shopping	Respondents	Percentage (%)
Frequently	22	44.0
Occasionally	18	36.0
Rarely	7	14.0
Never	3	6.0
Total	**50**	**100.0**

The table indicates that 44% of respondents frequently engage in online shopping, while 36% shop online occasionally. Only 14% reported shopping online rarely, and 6% never use online shopping platforms. These findings suggest that online shopping has become a common purchasing method among consumers, reflecting the increasing acceptance and popularity of digital retail channels.

Table 3: Perceived Impact of Online Shopping on Supermarket Visits

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)

Strongly Agree	15	30.0
Agree	20	40.0
Neutral	8	16.0
Disagree	5	10.0
Strongly Disagree	2	4.0
Total	**50**	**100.0**

The findings show that 30% of respondents strongly agree and 40% agree that online shopping has reduced their visits to supermarkets. Together, 70% of respondents acknowledge a decline in supermarket visits due to online shopping. Only 14% disagree with this statement, while 16% remain neutral. This indicates that online shopping has significantly influenced consumer shopping patterns and reduced physical visits to supermarkets.

Table 4: Major Challenges Faced by Supermarkets

Challenge	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Price Competition	18	36.0
Decline in Customer Footfall	12	24.0
Customer Retention Issues	8	16.0
Home Delivery Competition	7	14.0
Technology Adoption Cost	5	10.0
Total	**50**	**100.0**

Among the identified challenges, price competition emerged as the most significant issue, as reported by 36% of respondents. Declining customer footfall was considered the second most important challenge by 24% of respondents. Customer retention issues, home delivery competition, and technology adoption costs were identified by 16%, 14%, and 10% of respondents respectively. The results suggest that supermarkets are facing intense competition from online retailers, particularly in terms of pricing and customer attraction.

Table 5: Factors Attracting Consumers Towards Online Shopping

Factor	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Convenience	16	32.0
Discounts & Offers	14	28.0
Home Delivery	10	20.0
Product Variety	6	12.0
Easy Payment Options	4	8.0
Total	**50**	**100.0**

Convenience was identified as the primary factor attracting consumers toward online shopping, with 32% of respondents selecting this option. Discounts and promotional offers ranked second at 28%, followed by home delivery services at 20%. Product variety and easy payment options accounted for 12% and 8% respectively. These findings demonstrate that convenience and cost savings are the major reasons consumers prefer online shopping over traditional supermarket visits.

Table 6: Customer Opinion on Profitability of Supermarkets

Opinion	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Highly Affected	14	28.0
Moderately Affected	22	44.0
Slightly Affected	9	18.0
Not Affected	5	10.0
Total	**50**	**100.0**

The data reveal that 44% of respondents believe that online shopping moderately affects supermarket profitability, while 28% feel that it highly affects profitability. Only 10% of respondents believe that supermarket profitability is not affected by online shopping. Thus, a substantial majority of consumers perceive that the growth of online shopping has negatively impacted the financial performance of supermarkets.

Table 7: Strategies Suggested for Supermarkets

Suggested Strategy	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Online Ordering Facility	15	30.0
Home Delivery Service	12	24.0
Loyalty Programs	9	18.0
Better Discounts	8	16.0
Digital Marketing	6	12.0
Total	**50**	**100.0**

Among the suggested strategies, 30% of respondents recommended that supermarkets introduce online ordering facilities. Home delivery services were suggested by 24% of respondents, while loyalty programs were preferred by 18%. Better discounts and digital marketing initiatives were suggested by 16% and 12% respectively. These findings indicate that consumers expect supermarkets to adopt digital retailing practices and customer-oriented services to remain competitive.

Table 8: Mean Score Ranking of Challenges Faced by Supermarkets

Challenge	Mean Score	Rank
Price Competition	4.32	I
Declining Customer Footfall	4.18	II
Reduced Customer Loyalty	4.05	III
Rising Operational Cost	3.88	IV
Technology Adoption Issues	3.72	V

(Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree)

The mean score analysis indicates that price competition is the most critical challenge faced by supermarkets, with the highest mean score of 4.32. Declining customer footfall ranks second with a mean score of 4.18, followed by reduced customer loyalty (4.05). Rising operational costs and technology adoption issues rank fourth and fifth respectively. The ranking clearly demonstrates that competitive pressure from online retailers is the foremost concern for supermarket businesses.

Table 9: One-Sample t-Test on Impact of Online Shopping

HYPOTHESIS

H_0: Online shopping has no significant impact on supermarkets.

Variable	Mean	SD	t-value	p-value
Impact of Online Shopping	4.10	0.78	9.95	0.000

The one-sample t-test results show a mean value of 4.10 with a t-value of 9.95 and a p-value of 0.000. Since the p-value is less than the significance level of 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected. This result confirms that online shopping has a statistically significant impact on supermarket businesses. Therefore, it can be concluded that the growth of online shopping has substantially influenced the business prospects of supermarkets.

Table 10: Overall Perception of Respondents

Perception	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Online Shopping is a Threat to Supermarkets	32	64.0
Both Can Coexist	15	30.0
No Impact	3	6.0
Total	**50**	**100.0**

The majority of respondents (64%) perceive online shopping as a threat to supermarkets. However, 30% believe that online shopping and supermarkets can coexist successfully, while only 6% feel that online shopping has no impact on supermarkets. These findings suggest that consumers recognize the competitive challenges posed by online shopping, although many also believe that supermarkets can survive by adapting to changing market conditions and consumer preferences.

DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that online shopping has significantly altered the retail environment. Supermarkets face challenges related to customer retention, sales growth, profitability, and technological adaptation. The rise of digital platforms has empowered consumers by providing greater convenience and access to information. Consequently, supermarkets must move beyond traditional retail practices and embrace technological innovations. The study suggests that the future of retailing lies in integrating online and offline channels. Supermarkets that successfully adopt omnichannel strategies can leverage their physical presence while benefiting from digital retail opportunities.

CONCLUSION

The growth of online shopping has created substantial challenges for supermarkets operating in the organized retail sector. Declining customer footfall, intense price competition, changing consumer expectations, reduced customer loyalty, and increasing operational costs have emerged as major concerns. However, these challenges also provide opportunities for innovation and transformation. Supermarkets that embrace digital technologies, improve customer experiences, and adopt omnichannel retailing models can effectively compete in the evolving retail environment. The future success of supermarkets will depend on their ability to integrate traditional strengths with modern digital capabilities.

REFERENCES

Brynjolfsson, E., Hu, Y. J., & Rahman, M. S. (2013). Competing in the age of omnichannel retailing. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 54*(4), 23–29.

Kumar, V., & Dange, U. (2014). A study of organized retailing and consumer buying behavior in India. *International Journal of Retail Management Research, 4*(2), 45–57.

Rigby, D. (2011). The future of shopping. *Harvard Business Review, 89*(12), 65–76.

Singh, R., & Roshan, A. (2018). Impact of online shopping on consumer buying behavior in India. *International Journal of Marketing and Business Communication, 7*(3), 12–20.

Verhoef, P. C., Kannan, P. K., & Inman, J. J. (2015). From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing: Introduction to the special issue on multi-channel retailing. *Journal of Retailing, 91*(2), 174–181.